


**FAAST Diabetic Surgery Symposium
February 13, 2021 | 11 am-2 pm CT | Zoom**


Evaluation Summary Report

Which of the following virtual conference delivery methods would you prefer?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Option 1: Continue with a similar format, weekend course starting Friday evening and ending Sunday morning.	100.00%	25
2	Option 2: Move to 10 one-hour sessions given in the evenings Monday - Friday for two consecutive weeks.	0.00%	0
3	Other (please describe your preference):	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	25

Once in-person courses are back to normal, would you still use virtual courses such as FASST for CME?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	87.50%	21
2	No	12.50%	3
	Total	100%	24

Once in-person courses are back to normal, would you attend an in-person CME course at a resort destination?




#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	62.50%	15
2	No	37.50%	9
	Total	100%	24

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:




#	Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
1	The content was appropriate to my (future) practice.	83.33% 20	8.33% 2	8.33% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24
2	This activity will make me more effective in my (future) practice.	83.33% 20	12.50% 3	4.17% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	24

Please rate the following:



#	Question	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Fair		Poor		Total
1	Overall administration of the activity.	87.50%	21	8.33%	2	4.17%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
2	Overall use of the online streaming platform.	91.67%	22	8.33%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24

Did the activity meet your expectations in accomplishing the stated objectives?



#	Question	Completely	Mostly	Partially	Minimally	Not at all	Total					
1	Recognize the methods to aid in diagnosing diabetic infections and ulcerations.	87.50%	21	12.50%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
2	Discuss the surgical management of diabetic foot infections.	87.50%	21	12.50%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
3	Describe the surgical treatment ladder in diabetic limb salvage.	87.50%	21	12.50%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
4	Recognize the role of vascular studies and intervention in the management of the diabetic foot.	79.17%	19	12.50%	3	8.33%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
5	Discuss the different methods of Charcot reconstruction constructs.	79.17%	19	16.67%	4	4.17%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24
6	Describe the importance of medical management of diabetic foot and ankle.	83.33%	20	8.33%	2	8.33%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	24

Please provide any suggestions for topics and/or speakers for future FASST conferences.

- Wound management, local tissue rearrangement/flaps, sports injuries and management.
- More midfoot /forefoot cases.
- It was great!
- Great guests/speakers and host. Can't say enough good things about host.
- It was great!

Please provide any additional comments and/or suggestions below.

- I particularly enjoy the format of the case discussions--it is great to hear all the panelists opinions on management of a case.
- Overall, a very interesting and informative conference. Great job!
- I thoroughly enjoyed this symposium! Dr. Grambart did a fantastic job as moderator. I was impressed with the scope of knowledge of the participants. Also, I was equally impressed with the humbleness that was demonstrated by the extremely skilled, knowledgeable participants. Thank you!
- These case studies were Ortho salvage studies not conducive to.
- I wish that more of the speaker slides had been available for download and taking notes. These were some great cases so far! I do really appreciate how quickly the recordings have become available though!
- Thanks for the excellent dialogue!
- It was great!


Given the scope of your practice, what educational needs do you have?

- Use of newer hardware, skin substitutes etc.
- CME credits from interesting topics with knowledgeable hosts such as this one.
- Wound care.
- Medical management updates like fluorescent vascular studies. Pearls done biopsy vs films.
- Wound care.

Ankle Issues


Panelists: Wesley Stotler, DO and Michael Brage, MD
Saturday, February 13, 11 am CT

Please rate the following:




#	Question	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Fair		Poor		Total
1	Speakers' quality of the instructional process and presentation including the effectiveness of educational methods.	81.08%	30	13.51%	5	2.70%	1	2.70%	1	0.00%	0	37
2	Speakers' teaching effectiveness, knowledge, and organization.	83.78%	31	10.81%	4	2.70%	1	2.70%	1	0.00%	0	37
3	Speakers' ability to communicate ideas and information clearly.	86.11%	31	11.11%	4	0.00%	0	2.78%	1	0.00%	0	36

This session will result in a change in my (select all that apply):



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Knowledge (facts and information acquired by a person through experience or education)	26.36%	29
2	Competence (having the ability to apply knowledge, skills, or judgment in practice if called upon to do so)	22.73%	25
3	Performance (what the participant actually does in practice)	19.09%	21
4	Patient outcomes (actual outcomes in individual patients and/or patient populations)	22.73%	25
5	Community (change in population health status)	6.36%	7
6	This activity did not result in a change.	2.73%	3
	Total	100%	110

Was this session balanced and free of commercial bias?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	97.22%	35
2	No (please explain)	2.78%	1
	Total	100%	36

Please describe any 'pearls' or takeaway messages. Include any changes or improvements in your practice that you plan to make as a result of attending this session. If no changes are identified, please explain why (program format, content not appropriate, nothing learned, etc.)

- Always consider early Charcot in DM with ankle fx.
- The high 5-year mortality rate following a TMA was surprising.
- Attempt at more salvage procedures.
- The fluorescein imaging is intriguing. However, numerous "pearls" were obtained throughout the symposium.
- It was great.
- Monitor a1c and Crp better.
- Always screen DM pts with acute fx for Charcot.


Do you have any unanswered questions, feedback regarding the speakers, or additional comments?

- N/A

Charcot Conundrums


Panelists: John Early, MD and John Anderson, MD
Saturday, February 13, 12 pm CT

Please rate the following:




#	Question	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Fair		Poor		Total
1	Speakers' quality of the instructional process and presentation including the effectiveness of educational methods.	84.62%	33	15.38%	6	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	39
2	Speakers' teaching effectiveness, knowledge, and organization.	87.18%	34	12.82%	5	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	39
3	Speakers' ability to communicate ideas and information clearly.	84.21%	32	15.79%	6	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	38

This session will result in a change in my (select all that apply):



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Knowledge (facts and information acquired by a person through experience or education)	25.42%	30
2	Competence (having the ability to apply knowledge, skills, or judgment in practice if called upon to do so)	22.88%	27
3	Performance (what the participant actually does in practice)	20.34%	24
4	Patient outcomes (actual outcomes in individual patients and/or patient populations)	21.19%	25
5	Community (change in population health status)	9.32%	11
6	This activity did not result in a change.	0.85%	1
	Total	100%	118

Was this session balanced and free of commercial bias?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	97.06%	33
2	No (please explain)	2.94%	1
	Total	100%	34

Please describe any 'pearls' or takeaway messages. Include any changes or improvements in your practice that you plan to make as a result of attending this session. If no changes are identified, please explain why (program format, content not appropriate, nothing learned, etc.)

- These lectures really emphasized that exostectomies or planing in Charcot patients is really just delaying the definitive procedure.
- Confirm difficulty of dealing with Charcot joints.
- It was great!
- Earlier referral to a Charcot surgeon.
- It was really interesting hearing their thoughts on the use of exostectomy in patients with midfoot deformity. Certainly has always felt like a relatively low-risk procedure, but was interesting hearing their commentary on the potential for midfoot destabilization and tips on how to determine if someone is a good candidate.


Do you have any unanswered questions, feedback regarding the speakers, or additional comments?

- Excellent presentation!

Amputation Expectations


Panelists: Sean Grambart, DPM, FACFAS and Wesley Stotler, DO
Saturday, February 13, 1 pm CT

Please rate the following:




#	Question	Excellent		Very Good		Good		Fair		Poor		Total
1	Speakers' quality of the instructional process and presentation including the effectiveness of educational methods.	86.49%	32	8.11%	3	5.41%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	37
2	Speakers' teaching effectiveness, knowledge, and organization.	80.56%	29	13.89%	5	5.56%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	36
3	Speakers' ability to communicate ideas and information clearly.	83.78%	31	10.81%	4	5.41%	2	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	37

This session will result in a change in my (select all that apply):



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Knowledge (facts and information acquired by a person through experience or education)	26.96%	31
2	Competence (having the ability to apply knowledge, skills, or judgment in practice if called upon to do so)	24.35%	28
3	Performance (what the participant actually does in practice)	20.00%	23
4	Patient outcomes (actual outcomes in individual patients and/or patient populations)	20.87%	24
5	Community (change in population health status)	7.83%	9
6	This activity did not result in a change.	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	115

Was this session balanced and free of commercial bias?



#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	96.77%	30
2	No (please explain)	3.23%	1
	Total	100%	31

Please describe any 'pearls' or takeaway messages. Include any changes or improvements in your practice that you plan to make as a result of attending this session. If no changes are identified, please explain why (program format, content not appropriate, nothing learned, etc.)

- As a DPM, the BKA lecture was very interesting, as I didn't get much experience with the actual BKA surgery/recovery process in residency.
- Review / confirmed standards of care.
- It was great!
- Reinforced learned principals.
- Was eye-opening hearing all of the statistics regarding mortality rates following minor lower extremity amputations. Really enjoyed hearing Dr. Stotler's approach to performing BKAs.

Do you have any unanswered questions, feedback regarding the speakers, or additional comments?

- You rock!
- Thank you, Dr. Grambart! You never miss a beat and keep the conference flowing nicely! We appreciate your leadership in this series.
- Excellent presentations!